

Headmost Accent Wins

Headmost Accent Wins

Head Dominance and Ideal Prosodic Form in Lexical Accent Systems

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van de graad van Doctor
aan de Universiteit Leiden,
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus Dr. W. A. Wagenaar,
hoogleraar in de faculteit der Sociale Wetenschappen,
volgens besluit van het College voor Promoties
te verdedigen op woensdag 13 januari 1999
te klokke 16.15 uur

door

ANTHOULA REVITHIADOU

geboren te Katerini (Griekenland) in 1971

Promotiecommissie

promotor: Prof. dr. J. G. Kooij
co-promotor: Dr. H. G. van der Hulst
referent: Prof. dr. J. Itô, University of California, Santa Cruz
overige leden: Prof. dr. G. E. Booij, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Prof. G. Drachman, Universität Salzburg
Prof. dr. M. van Oostendorp, Universiteit van Amsterdam
Dr. R. W. J. Kager, Rijksuniversiteit Utrecht

ISBN 90-5569-059-7

© 1999 by Anthi Revithiadou. All rights reserved.

Printed in The Netherlands

*Στην οικογένειά μου και
στο Martin*

Acknowledgments

I am afraid that it is impossible to include in a few lines the names of all of those who have contributed in some way or other to the production of this thesis. Ironically, the rules concerning the public defense at Leiden University prevent me from mentioning the names of those who helped me most. Therefore I want to thank collectively all members at HIL for creating a friendly and stimulating environment. I would like, however, to mention a few who contributed to my development as a linguist and as a person during the last four years.

I consider myself extremely fortunate for being surrounded by a great group of people in Leiden. I would like to thank Ruben van de Vijver for our wonderful discussions on both phonological and psychological stress. Aran Elordieta is not only a dear friend who shares with me the passion for the Mediterranean but also a dear colleague who helped me with the syntactic issues of this thesis. I am also grateful to Ana Arregui, Marika Butskhrikidze, João Costa, Rob Goedemans, Martin Honcoop, Michael Redford, Grażyna Rowicka, Jeroen van de Weijer and the HIL secretary, José Birker for helping me in various ways.

Marina Nespór and Norval Smith not only contributed to my development as a phonologist but also sponsored me in various applications for grants. I want to thank them both for investing their energy in me.

My visit to Stanford and Santa Cruz played a decisive role in my thinking and orientation. I wish to thank those who made my stay there such a linguistically stimulating experience. I owe special thanks to Paul Kiparsky for his kindness and generosity.

My trips abroad would not have been possible without the financial aid of NWO (*Dutch Organization for Scientific Research*), LOT (*Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics*) and HIL, whose contributions are gratefully acknowledged. My stay in Holland was made possible with a fellowship

provided by the Faculteit der Letteren of Leiden University. I hope they are convinced that the money was not wasted.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to the person that twice supported my grant proposals at Leiden University and made me a research assistant when my contract expired. Unfortunately, I am not allowed to mention his name. Σε ευχαριστώ για τη φιλία και την εμπιστοσύνη που μου έδειξες ειδικά στις πιο δύσκολες περιόδους.

Greece has a very special place in my heart and so do its people. I wish to thank my teachers at Aristotle University, especially A. Charalabopoulos, T. Christidis, K. Katsanis, M. Setatos, M. Stavrou, C. Tsitsilis, S. Tsohatzidis and J. Veloudis, for implanting the virus of linguistics in me. Marianna Margariti–Roga, Anna Anastasiadi–Simeonidi and Aggeliki Ralli showed in many ways their interest in my work and their confidence in me. Their support is something that I will never forget και τις ευχαριστώ από τα βάθη της καρδιάς μου. Aggeliki Malikouti–Drachman showed me the way to Leiden and I will always be grateful for that. Finally, I would like to express my appreciation to my fellow colleague Ioanna Kappa for being so attentive.

Leaving Greece in 1994 also meant leaving behind my friends. I never got over their absence. I want to thank them for staying close in spite of the distance, and giving me all these wonderful memories which kept Greece alive in my heart. Thanks to Dina, Efkleia, Margarita, Sofia and, the best friend of all times, Dimitris.

My family has been an inexhaustible source of courage for me. I want to thank my sisters Evaggelia and Sofia for being there when I needed them most and showing to me that life is not always work and linguistics. Thanks to the children, Ino and Dimitris, for reminding me that life can be beautiful and simple. Thanks to my brother-in-law, Ilias, for developing into one of my biggest supporters.

My lovely parents, Artemis and Miltiadis, have shown to me unconditional love. They taught me not to be afraid of life and always pursue my dreams. I want to thank them for accepting my decision to go abroad and offering me help for the first months. I know that at times my absence caused them pain and I am sorry for all the celebrations I missed during the last few years. I hope we can spend more time together in the future.

When I came to Leiden I never thought that I would find the Prince of my Dreams. Τί καλύτερο θα μπορούσα να ζητήσω από αυτή τη ζωή; Thank you Martin for drinking capuccino with me, for loving me the way you do, for sharing your life with me.

Contents

Introduction	1
0.1. The proposal	1
0.1.1. Lexical accents and prosodic form	2
0.1.2. Lexical accents and the prosody-morphology interface: ‘Head(most) accent wins’	4
0.2. Organization of the thesis	8
1 A Typology of Stress Systems	11
1.1. Introduction	11
1.1.1. Fixed-stress systems.....	12
1.1.2. Free-stress systems or rather, interface systems.....	14
1.2. Optimality Theory and Stress Typology	25
1.2.1. Optimality Theory.....	25
1.2.2. Constraints and stress typology	26
1.3. Conclusions	31
Appendix: Factorial Typology of Stress Systems	32
2 The Theory of Lexical Accents	41
2.1. Introduction	41
2.2. The Theory of Lexical Accents	43
2.2.1. What is a lexical accent?.....	43
2.2.2. Correspondence Theory and prosodic faithfulness	51
2.2.3. Lexical specification and the lexicon.....	56
2.2.4. Lexical accent: an autosegment or a prosodic role?	56

2.3. Lexical Constituency.....	58
2.3.1. Lexical marking as pre-assigned feet.....	58
2.3.2. Lexical marking as inherent prominence	59
2.4. Lexical Representation.....	60
2.4.1. Lexical marking as prespecified heads.....	60
2.4.2. Lexical marking as prespecified brackets.....	62
2.4.3. Edge, parenthesis and head parameters.....	64
2.4.3.1. The theory.....	64
2.4.3.2. Lexical marking in Russian	66
2.5. Parameters and Constraints	70
2.5.1. Lexical marking as variable parameters settings	70
2.5.2. Lexical marking as ‘parochial’ constraints and constraint- reranking.....	71
Appendix: Evidence for Unaccentability from Tone Languages	75

3 Lexical Accents and Prosodic Form81

3.1. Introduction	81
3.1.1. Theoretical explorations in chapter 3.....	81
Greek.....	84
3.2. Background Information on Greek	85
3.3. Previous Analyses of Greek Stress	88
3.3.1. Philippaki–Warburton (1976)	88
3.3.2. Ralli (1988), Ralli and Touradzidis (1992).....	89
3.3.3. Malikouti–Drachman and Drachman (1989), Drachman and Malikouti–Drachman (1996).....	90
3.4. Accentual Patterns in Nouns	93
3.4.1. The facts	93
3.4.2. Marked and unmarked patterns.....	95
3.4.3. Common patterns and gaps.....	97
3.5. Accentuation of Nouns with One Lexical Accent	99
3.5.1. Marked feet in the pool	99
3.5.2. Nouns with a marked root	104
3.5.2.1. Accented roots	104
3.5.2.2. Unaccentable roots	107
3.5.3. Nouns with a marked inflectional suffix.....	111
3.5.3.1. Accented inflectional suffixes	111
3.5.3.2. Pre-accenting inflectional suffixes.....	112
3.6. Accentuation of Nouns with no Lexical Accents	116
3.6.1. Default vs. marking	117
3.7. Loan Words and Diacritic Marking	118
3.8. Accentuation of Adjectives and Verbs.....	124

3.9. Assessment and Conclusions of Greek Accentuation.....	125
Russian.....	127
3.10. Background Information on Russian	128
3.11. Accentual Patterns in Nouns	132
3.11.1. The facts	132
3.11.2. Common patterns and gaps.....	135
3.12. Accentuation of Nouns with One Lexical Accent	136
3.12.1. Templatic marking in Russian	136
3.12.2. Nouns with a marked root	137
3.12.2.1. Accented roots.....	137
3.12.2.2. Unaccentable roots	139
3.12.3. Nouns with a marked inflectional suffix	142
3.12.3.1. Accented inflectional suffixes	143
3.12.3.2. Bimoraic inflectional suffixes.....	143
3.13. Accentuation of Nouns with no Lexical Accents	146
3.14. Periphery and Diachrony: Keys for Synchrony.....	147
3.15. Accentuation of Adjectives and Verbs.....	151
3.16. Assessment and Conclusions of Russian Accentuation.....	153
3.17. Summary and Conclusions of Chapter 3.....	154
Appendix: Evidence for Exhaustive Parsing in Russian.....	158

4 Lexical Accents and Head Dominance in Fusional Languages171

4.1. Introduction	171
4.1.1. Theoretical explorations in chapter 4.....	172
Greek.....	176
4.2. Inflected Words: The Facts	176
4.3. Prosodic Compositionality	178
4.3.1. Compositionality in grammar	182
4.4. The Notion ‘Head of the Word’	184
4.4.1. Inflectional suffixes and headedness	184
4.4.2. Derivational suffixes and headedness.....	188
4.5. Prosodic Compositionality and Head Dominance in Inflected Words	189
4.6. Derived Words: The Facts.....	194
4.7. Dominance as Ordered Stratum and Cyclicity	197
4.7.1. Dominance as ordered stratum	197
4.7.2. Dominance as cyclicity	199
4.8. Prosodic Compositionality and Head Dominance in Derived Words.....	202
4.8.1. Accented derivational suffixes	202
4.8.2. Unaccentable derivational suffixes	204

4.8.3. Unmarked derivational suffixes.....	208
4.9. Assessment and Conclusions of Greek Accentuation.....	209
Russian.....	210
4.10. Inflected Words: The Facts	212
4.11. Prosodic Compositionality and Head Dominance in Inflected Words	213
4.12. Derived Words: The Facts.....	216
4.13. Prosodic Compositionality and Head Dominance in Derived Words.....	220
4.13.1. Accented derivational suffixes.....	220
4.13.2. Unaccentable derivational suffixes	222
4.13.3. Unmarked derivational suffixes.....	224
4.14. Some ‘Exceptions’ to Head Dominance?.....	226
4.15. Another View on Russian Stress: Melvold (1990)	229
4.16. Head-Attraction: Evidence for HEADSTRESS	233
4.17. Assessment and Conclusions of Russian Accentuation.....	237
4.18. Summary and Conclusions of Chapter 4.....	238

5 Lexical Accents and Head Dominance in Polysynthetic

Languages.....	241
5.1. Introduction	241
5.1.1. Theoretical explorations in Chapter 5.....	242
The Morphological Stem	249
5.2. Thompson Salish (Nɬeʔkɛpmx)	250
5.2.1. Background information on Thompson	250
5.2.2. The Thompson facts.....	253
5.2.3. Incorporation and compounding in lexical suffixation.....	258
5.2.3.1. Root=LexS predicates	258
5.2.3.2. Root=LexS compounds	263
5.2.4. Lexical marking	266
5.2.5. Prosodic compositionality and head dominance in Root=LexS predicates	271
5.2.6. The accentuation of words with no lexical accents.....	277
5.3. Spokane and Moses-Columbia Salish.....	281
5.3.1. Background information on Spokane.....	281
5.3.2. The Spokane facts	281
5.3.3. Accentuation of Root=LexS predicates in Spokane	284
5.3.4. Background information on Moses-Columbia (Nxa’amxcín).....	285
5.3.5. The Moses-Columbia facts.....	286
5.4. Lillooet Salish (St’át’imcets).....	290

5.4.1. Background information on Lillooet.....	290
5.4.2. The Lillooet facts	291
5.4.3. Prosodic compositionality and head dominance in Lillooet Root=LexS predicates	293
5.4.4. Rhythmic patterns in Lillooet	295
5.5. Summary of Salish Accentuation in the Morphological Stem	299
The Morphological Word	301
5.6. Accentuation in Transitive Formations	302
5.7. Accentuation in Intransitive Formations	310
5.8. Another View on Salish Stress: Czaykowska-Higgins (1993a)	315
5.9. Summary and Conclusions of Chapter 5.....	320
Conclusions.....	323
References.....	331

Abbreviations

ASP	aspect	IT	iterative
ACC	accusative	ITR	intransitive
AUT	autonomous	LOC	locative
CAUS	causative	MIDDLE (MDL)	middle
COREF	coreferential	MOD	modal
CTR	control	NCS	non-coreferential subject
DAT	dative	NEC	necessitative
DESID	desiderative	NEG	negation
DEM	demonstrative	NON-CTL	non-control
DIM	diminutive	NOM	nominative
DR	directive	NOM	nominalizer
DS	distributive	OBL	oblique
DUR	durative	OC	out-of-control
DVL	developmental	PA	primary affix
FV	final vowel	PASS	passive
FUT	future	PAST CONT	past continuous
GEN	genitive	PERSEV	perseverative
HBT	habitual	POSS	possessive
IMP	imperative	POTEN.PRES	potential present
IMPERF	imperfective	PREP	preposition
INCH	inchoative	PRES	present
INDIC	indicative	REC	reciprocal
INDIR	indirective	RED	reduplication
INF	infinitive	REL	relational
INSTR	instrument(al)	REP	repetitive
INTERR	interrogative	RFL	reflexive
INTENS	intensifying		

STAT	stative
SUBJ	subjunctive
TR	transitive
TRANSLOC	translocational
S	subject
O	object
TopO	topical object
1sg	a' singular person
1pl	a' plural person
InflS	inflectional suffix
DerS	derivational suffix
LexS	lexical suffix
UnM(ark)	unmarked
Acc	accented
UnAcc	unaccentable
Pre-Acc	pre-accenting
masc	masculine
fem	feminine
neut	neuter
§	section
[...]	template
{..}	template pool